September 6, 2018

Oppose H.R. 6691, the "Community Safety and Security Act"

Dear Representative:

We, the undersigned organizations, urge you to oppose H.R. 6691, the "Community Safety and Security Act," which would amend the definition of a "crime of violence" under 18 U.S.C. § 16. This bill was written in response to the Supreme Court's decision in *Dimaya v. Sessions*, which held that subsection (b), known as the "residual clause," is unconstitutionally vague. Instead of taking time to fashion a definition that takes into consideration the many legal ramifications across federal proceedings of changing this term, the bill recklessly pushes forward a definition of a crime of violence for political purposes. It is unnecessary, overbroad, and could have substantial harmful effects.

We oppose H.R. 6691 for the following reasons:

- The bill is overbroad and includes in its list of crimes of violence a number of offenses that have no element of violence at all. Burglary, for example, is included in the list of crimes of violence though it is defined as the unlawful or unprivileged entry into a building. Likewise, the bill lists coercion through fraud as a violent felony though no element of violence is part of that criminal offense. Simple assault is also considered a violent crime even in circumstances where the underlying act was a merely a push or shove.
- The bill dangerously expands the definition of violent crime which fuels overcriminalization. Every existing definition of a crime of violence in federal law or for federal purposes includes as an element the use, threatened use, or attempted use of force see 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c)(3), 3156; Uniform Crime Reports. But H.R. 6691 omits this crucial and basic requirement. The consequences are dangerous, especially in the hands of a Justice Department which has displayed a general tendency to use a sprawling definition of violent crime to justify more arrests and prosecutions and longer prison sentences. The residual clause, while expansive, at least had the requirement that the crime of violence be classified as a felony that involves a substantial risk of force against person or property, but even that requirement has been removed by H.R. 6691.
- A new definition of crime of violence is unnecessary, even in light of *Dimaya*. The Court in *Dimaya* held that the residual clause is unconstitutional but left in place subsection (a), which defines a crime of violence as "an offense that has as an element the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person or property of another." Moreover, this bill seeks to undercut a number of Supreme Court decisions, and in doing so, impacts more than just the "crime of violence" definition.
- H.R. 6691 could have significant exclusionary effects on federal criminal justice laws and legislation. Carelessly expanding the definition of a "crime of violence" will change criminal procedures under current law and lead to more people being unnecessarily detained both pre-trial and post-conviction. This goes against bipartisan efforts to reform the criminal justice system. For example, proposed legislation such as H.R. 4833 (Bail Fairness Act); H.R. 5043 (Fresh Start Act); and H.R. 5575 (Pathway to Parenting Act) bars people convicted of a crime of violence from pretrial release considerations, expungement of crimes, and receiving visitors. Expanding the definition of a crime of violence would exclude some of the very people meant to be helped by these bills.

For these reasons, we urge you to vote "no" on H.R. 6691. Thank you for your attention to our concerns.

Sincerely,

The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights ACLU American Federation of Labor-Congress of Industrial Organizations (AFL-CIO) American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee (ADC) Asian Americans Advancing Justice | AAJC Asian Pacific Institute on Gender-Based Violence Bend the Arc: Jewish Action Campaign for Youth Justice Casa de Esperanza: National Latin@ Network for Healthy Families and Communities Center for Community Change Action (CCCA) Central American Resource Center (CARECEN) of California Chicago Alliance Against Sexual Exploitation CHIRLA's California Dream Network Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights (CHIRLA) Coalition for Juvenile Justice Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) Fair Immigration Reform Movement Action (FIRM Action) Freedom Network USA Futures Without Violence **Hispanic Federation** Human Rights First Human Rights Watch Inland Empire Youth Collective Japanese American Citizens League Justice Policy Institute Juvenile Justice Coalition (Ohio) Montana Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence NAACP National African American Drug Policy Coalition, Inc. National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers National Center for Lesbian Rights National Center for Transgender Equality National Center on Domestic and Sexual Violence National Coalition Against Domestic Violence National Domestic Violence Hotline National Education Association National Employment Law Project National Immigrant Justice Center National Immigration Law Center National Immigration Project of the NLG National Juvenile Justice Network National Latina Institute for Reproductive Health National Network to End Domestic Violence National Organization for Women **Reframe Health and Justice** Sisters of Mercy of the Americas - Institute Justice Team Southeast Asia Resource Action Center (SEARAC) StoptheDrugWar.org The Daniel Initiative The Monkey Wrench Brigade The Sentencing Project Transgender Law Center UndocuBlack Network United We Dream Vermont Network Against Domestic and Sexual Violence W. Haywood Burns Institute